




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : A61B 17/39	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 93/13718 (43) International Publication Date: 22 July 1993 (22.07.93)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US92/08980 (22) International Filing Date: 27 October 1992 (27.10.92) (30) Priority data: 823,093 21 January 1992 (21.01.92) US (71) Applicant: VALLEYLAB, INC. [US/US]; 5920 Longbow Drive, Boulder, CO 80301 (US). (72) Inventor: KLICEK, Michael, Steve ; 1573 Bradley Drive, Boulder, CO 80303 (US). (74) Agents: RICHARDSON, Peter, C. et al.; Pfizer Inc., Patent Department, 235 East 42nd Street, New York, NY 10017 (US).		(81) Designated States: DE (Utility model), JP, KR, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, SE). Published With international search report.

(54) Title: ELECTROSURGICAL CONTROL FOR A TROCAR

(57) Abstract

An electrosurgical control (10) for a trocar (11) has a trocar (11) with a cannula (12) with a stylet (13) coaxially fit therein. The stylet (13) is movable relative to the cannula (12) along a common axis. The trocar (11) is shaped for insertion in a direction generally along the axis through tissue in a puncture procedure with its stylet (13). A distal end (15) and a proximal end (16) on the elongate cannula (12) so the distal end (15) enters the tissue while the proximal end (16) remains outside. A tip (17) on the stylet (13) end, near the distal end (15) of the cannula (12), normally extends therebeyond in position to puncture the tissue. The stylet (13) has an energy supply (18) passing from the tip (17) to its opposite end (19) and moves reciprocally relative to the cannula (12) so the tip (17) extends or is fully within the cannula (12). An electrosurgical generator (20) provides energy to the opposite end (19) of the stylet (13) and an electrosurgically active device is a part of the tip (17) and connects to the energy supply (18). A sampling circuit (21) is connected to the electrosurgically active device and responds to changes in energy passing through the energy supply (18) as a function of tissue cut by the electrosurgically active device. The sampling circuit (21) provides a signal relative to the energy supplied and a measuring circuit (22) analyzes the signal to instantly isolate a specific signal therefrom indicative of a significant change in the energy when the tip (17) is not in tissue. A comparator (23) has a settable predetermined threshold amount of energy at which the electrosurgical generator (20) no longer supplies energy. A peak energy level is set by a knob (24) and is compared to the varying signals from the sampling circuit (21). A switch (25) responds to the comparator (23) to disconnect the energy when the threshold is exceeded.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LJ	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
ES	Spain	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
FI	Finland				

5

ELECTROSURGICAL CONTROL FOR A TROCAR1. Field of the Invention

An electrosurgical generator control responsive to energy required to cut tissue of a human or animal, and more specifically the energy flowing through a distal tip of a cutting instrument applied directly to the tissue.

10

2. Background of the Disclosure

Surgery through a trocar inserted cannula and particularly with an opening through the tissue of an animal or human abdominal wall has become an important means to minimize the extent of surgical invasion. The lessening of invasion improves the cosmetic result, shortens recovery and lowers the cost. Endoscopic internal surgical procedures and equipment are available and in use for a variety of medical operations including gall bladder, bowel and gynecological surgery. A proper and simple instrument to open the passage through the abdominal wall and avoid injury to internal organs during the placement of the cannula by means of a trocar is needed.

15

20

U. S. Patent No. 3,595,239 discloses a catheter tube having an obturator in the form of an electrode passing coaxially therethrough. The obturator electrode is connected to an electrosurgical generator in order to provide high frequency energy used to divide or cut tissue thereby forming a passage for the catheter to pass through. The tip of the obturator extends beyond the catheter tip and is capable of cutting. The catheter is moved along with the obturator electrode by means of a ring disposed about the obturator proximal to the tip and inside the tip of the catheter. There is no disclosure of any means for sensing the impedance or load associated with the energy required to do the cutting during insertion of the obturator tip.

25

30

U. S. Patent No. 4,856,530 discloses an automatic system for determination of the size of a catheter distal tip remotely at the proximal end of the catheter. A capacitor at the distal tip is connected to a pair of wires which extends proximally to a microprocessor which determines the decay constant of the capacitor

35

and thereby establishes the catheter size. There is no disclosure of interactive measurement of the energy required for cutting tissue by impedance load or otherwise.

5 U. S. Patent 4,651,280 discloses a microprocessor with a preset control for an electrosurgical unit during a transurethral resection. A current monitoring probe about the output of the electrosurgical unit is responsive to load such that differences in the tissue and in inclusions therein are measured as changes in conductivity which are directly related to the load during the resection. Variation and output power of the electrosurgical unit are a measure of what tissue is resected. The current changes are
10 sensed by a peak detector, connected to a phase shifter so that a control signal relative to the current level can be provided to a sample and hold circuit to accumulate data that can be converted by an analog to digital converter for processing by a microprocessor.

15 U. S. Patent 3,601,126 teaches delivery of power at a constant level to the active electrode as it engages the tissue and during the entire operational procedure. A reference current amplitude is used to maintain the cutting current constant. There is no disclosure of monitoring the energy or preventing the increase of energy upon entry into an internal cavity of the body.

20 U. S. Patent 4,126,137 has voltage and current sensors which are used to monitor power used during cutting. The circuit suggests that to make linear the power delivery at high and low impedance the power is increased and decreased, respectively. That is, with changes in impedance the power is increased with increasing impedance.
25 There is no appreciation of the need to cut off power with increased impedance to provide safety.

U. S. Patent 4,231,372 has a current sensor in series with the ground. A
30 comparator sets a threshold at which an alarm or disabling circuit functions as the current exceeds the threshold. Reset of the operation is timed and automatic so there is no safety responsive to an impedance increase upon reaching an inner cavity of the body.

U. S. Patent 4,232,676 has a knife blade which cuts and cauterizes the incision and in so doing self limits the current flow at the knife. Specifically, the blade has electrodes across which current flows when there is a conductive path after cutting the current cauterizes the incision sealing the wound and eliminating the current path. No
5 recognition of the impedance change due to the inner cavity of the body is disclosed.

U. S. Patent 4,281,373 has a circuit responsive to the needs of the cutting effort required. If the power is inadequate to prevent sticking of the cutter in the tissue the power is increased. There is no safety circuit responsive to the change in power
10 required when the cutter has reached a body cavity.

U. S. Patent 4,416,277 monitors the contact resistance of the return electrode maintaining the impedance within a range to prevent burns. Automatic setting of the upper limit as a function of load is taught so the power is regulated. There is an alert
15 but no shut off when a body cavity is entered and high impedance is detected.

U. S. Patent 4,494,541 senses capacitance between the body and an electrically conductive layer isolated from the body but part of the return electrode. If the capacitance is not within a certain range an alarm is produced. Although current to the
20 active electrode may be stopped if an alarm condition is detected, it is not a function of having reached an internal cavity of the body.

U. S. Patent 4,498,475 has an intensity controlled by changing resistance which controls a transistor that turns the power on and off. There is no detection of
25 impedance change at the inner body cavity.

U. S. Patents 4,601,710 and 4,654,030 teach trocar tubes with a shielding sleeve in addition to the tube. The shielding sleeve may project beyond the end of the trocar thereby shielding the tip of the trocar while in the body cavity.
30

U. S. Patent 4,535,773 discloses techniques for shielding the sharp tip of a trocar by either interposing an extensible shielding sleeve or retracting the trocar into its tube. With regard to the latter, a solenoid operated detent holds the trocar

an extended position relative its tube and electronic sensing in the tip of the trocar is used to activate the detent for release. Nothing in this reference has any disclosure of an impedance responsive circuit used to regulate an electrosurgical generator, attached to an electrosurgical cutting tip. Sensors and switches are used in conjunction with the probe which retracts during penetration. In particular, the probe extends beyond the cutting surface once the abdominal wall has been traversed. The sensors can be connected to an oral or visual signal to indicate completion of the puncture. The switches could be mechanical or magnetic, be tripped by a sleeve in the puncturing instrument, a probe or a spring wire protruding from the tip or blade of a sharp pointed cutter. Multiple sensors in the cutting blade and the cannula can be used to signal circuit of the penetration position. No disclosure of an impedance sensitive circuit is in this rather extensive disclosure.

U. S. Patent 4,919,653 discloses a device for locating epidural space. The release of force on the tip of a needle triggers an alarm which activate a solenoid latch permitting the needle and its sleeve to move in a cannula in response to an activated electromagnet such that the distal end moves 2 mm into the epidural space. Pressure sensors detect when the depression or release of pressure occurs as the needle enters the epidural space. The pressure signal is converted to produce the voltage difference between the sensor and the potentiometer. This difference is shown on a meter. The pressure sensor can be a small membrane with electrical contacts which are closed in the unloaded position and open when the membrane moves when the epidural space is reached. The passage of current through the contacts keeps the circuit open by means of a relay.

To safely place a cannula by a trocar technique requires knowledge of the position of the distal cutting tip of the stylet used to open the passage for the cannula through the animal or human tissue of the abdominal wall. A device to instant indicate when the cutting tip has passed through the tissue and reached the inside of the body is needed so that the internal organs are not injured. Because the organs fill the inside cavity and are close to the wall there is the possibility of injury before the surgeon can stop advancing the distal cutting tip.

Summary of the Disclosure

5 An electrosurgical control for a trocar preferably has a cannula with a stylet coaxially fit therewithin and the stylet is movable relative to the cannula along an axis common to both. The trocar is most preferably shaped for insertion in a direction generally along the axis through the tissue of a human or animal body in a combined puncture procedure with its stylet.

10 A distal end and a proximal end are preferably provided on the cannula. The cannula most preferably elongate so the distal end enters the tissue while the proximal end remains outside the tissue. A tip on the stylet end may first enter the tissue since the tip is preferably associated with the distal end of the cannula and normally extends therebeyond in position for puncture through the tissue. The stylet includes an energy supply therealong passing from the tip to an opposite end. The stylet is arranged to
15 move reciprocally relative to the cannula for positioning the tip from its extended position to a location wherein the tip is fully within the cannula.

20 An electrosurgical generator provides energy to the energy supply at the opposite end of the stylet. An electrosurgically active device is preferably included as part of the tip and connects the energy supply of the stylet to the electrosurgical generator. A sampling circuit may be associated with the electrosurgically active device and is responsive to changes in energy passing through the energy supply as a function of tissue acted upon by the electrosurgically active device when as energized by the electrosurgical generator. The sampling circuit is most preferably for providing
25 a signal relative to the energy supplied to the electrosurgically active device.

30 A measuring circuit may respond to and analyze the signal from the sampling circuit to instantly isolate a specific signal therefrom indicative of a significant change in the energy passing through the electrosurgically active device when the tip is not in tissue. A comparator includes a settable predetermined threshold amount of energy at which the electrosurgical generator no longer supplies energy to the electrosurgically active device.

The settable predetermined threshold is preferably a peak energy level as set by a knob adjusted by the electrosurgical control operator and the peak energy level is compared to the varying signals from the sampling circuit. A switch responsive to the comparator and connected to the electrosurgical generator may disconnect the energy supply therefrom when the threshold is exceeded. The comparator may operate the switch when a maximum or when a minimum is exceeded. The electrosurgically active device in circuit with the electrosurgical generator may form a monopolar system or a bipolar system. The bipolar system may include a pair of electrodes connected to the energy supply to the electrosurgically active device wherein the electrodes terminate and provide a site across which an arc of electrical energy can be sustained in circuit with the electrosurgical generator.

The sampling circuit may preferably respond to changes in voltage as the measure of energy flow through the energy supply and is a function of tissue acted upon by the electrosurgically active device at the tip of the stylet when energized by the electrosurgical generator. The sampling circuit provides a voltage relative to the energy supplied to the electrosurgically active device. The sampling circuit most preferably responds to changes in impedance of the tissue as the measure of energy required from the energy supply to the tip of the stylet when energized by the electrosurgical generator.

The electrosurgically active device at the tip of the stylet is most preferably a wire passing substantially normal to the axis of the cannula. The cannula is preferably cylindrical and may have a diameter thereacross and the stylet fits therein so the wire extends substantially across the diameter for cutting an opening through the tissue approximately the width of the cannula.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS.

Figure 1 is a schematic illustration of an electrosurgical control for a trocar with blocks to show the relationship of the circuit components of the preferred embodiment.

Figure 2 is a plot showing graphically the change in energy required to cut as a function of the type of tissue in the abdominal wall of a human and specifically the tissue found between the skin and inner cavity.

5 Figure 3 is an enlarged illustration in cross section of the distal end of the trocar including the cannula and its stylet therewithin shown applied to the surface of the skin.

10 Figure 4 is an enlarged illustration of the cannula and stylet wherein the stylet and a wire across the tip thereof is exposed or extended beyond the distal end of the cannula in position for use as the electrosurgically active device.

15 Figures 5a through 5d include illustrations of the electrode designs shown in plan view for use as the electrosurgically active devices and in particular, Figure 5a is a single cutting wire as shown in Figures 1 and 2; Figure 5b has two crossing wires; Figure 5c is an electrode with a pair of spaced apart active and sensing leads between which current flows during cutting, and Figure 5d is an arrangement with two sensing leads and two active leads each pair spaced from each other and disposed normal to each other.

20

Detailed Description of the Drawings

25 Figure 1 is a schematic illustration of an electrosurgical control showing the preferred embodiment as applied to a human during an electrosurgical procedure. The schematic blocks in Figure 1 illustrate the relationship of the circuit components of the preferred embodiment. Specifically, an electrosurgical control for a trocar preferably has a cannula with a stylet coaxially fit therewithin and the stylet is movable relative to the cannula along an axis common to both.

30

The trocar is shaped for insertion in a direction generally along the axis through the tissue of a human or animal body and in Figure 1 a human body is represented schematically. The preferred procedure is a combined puncture procedure wherein the cannula is passed through a cut down incision with its stylet whereby the

opening through the abdominal wall is enlarged to be about 10mm in diameter so an endoscope, laparoscopy or other observational or surgical instruments may have access to the internal organs.

5

Since many medical procedures access the inside of an anatomical cavity by using an implement such as a trocar, cannula or needle having a sharpened point to pierce or puncture the bodily tissues, muscles and membranes forming the cavity wall. A surgical needle, for example, connected to a catheter is typically used to pierce a
10 cavity (blood vessel, subarachnoid space, heart ventricle). After piercing the cavity, the needle is left in situ and used to inject or withdraw gaseous or liquid phase fluids from the cavity. Similarly, in several endoscopic procedures, a small incision may be made in the skin of a patient along the abdomen for example, and the sharp point of a larger penetrating implement such as a trocar of suitable length and diameter is inserted into
15 the incision, and pushed until the point punctures the cavity wall. Then, a sleeve is slid over the exterior surface of the implement into the puncture wound to serve as a lining for preserving the shape of the passageway created by the implement. After the sleeve is in place and the implement is withdrawn, an endoscope and/or operating instruments may be inserted through the sleeve to view and/or operate upon organs within the
20 cavity.

25

30

Although penetrating the wall of an anatomical cavity with a surgical puncturing instrument can be quickly done creating a small neat passageway and providing communication to the interior of the cavity, the sharp point of a penetrating implement pushed through a cavity wall may encounter great resistance from the tissue, muscle and membranes forming the cavity wall. When the sharp point and blade of the implement has passed through the cavity wall and into the cavity, the resistance drops significantly. The sharp point of the implement, however, can easily injure organ structure upon the slightest contact. Unless a surgeon stops pushing the implement just as soon as penetration is complete, there is a grave risk that the implement will continue penetrating deeply into the cavity and injure neighboring organ structure. If an unintended bodily member is injured by the point of the implement, unless an immediate and massive hemorrhage occurs, the injury may not become apparent until

long after completion of the surgery. At a minimum, such an injury will delay a patient's recovery and may seriously endanger the patient's health and corrective surgery may be required.

5 Use of electrosurgery to cut through the wall if controlled automatically can prevent the unintended injury since the cutting may be monitored and controlled externally near or at the source of the energy supply. Moreover the energy to the electrosurgical cutter may be terminated when it is not in tissue. The preferred control and various electrosurgical cutters are described by way of example and are not
10 disclosed to limit the coverage in the claims.

 A trocar having a cannula and a stylet. A distal end and a proximal end are preferably provided on the cannula in accord with Figure 1. The cannula is elongate so the distal end enters the tissue while the proximal end remains outside the tissue.
15 A tip on the stylet enters the tissue first since the tip is preferably associated with the distal end of the cannula and normally extends therebeyond, as shown in Figure 4, in position for electrosurgically cut through the tissue. The stylet includes an energy supply therealong passing from the tip to an opposite end. The stylet is arranged to move reciprocally relative to the cannula for positioning the tip from its extended
20 position to a location wherein the tip is fully within the cannula; axial stylet movement is suggested by the arrows of Figures 3 and 4.

 An electrosurgical generator as shown schematically in block form in Figure 1 could be a Model Force 2 as manufactured and sold by Valley Lab Inc. of Boulder,
25 Colorado. The electrosurgical generator provides energy to the energy supply at the opposite end of the stylet. An electrosurgically active device may take many forms as will be described herein and is preferably included as part of the tip and connects or completes the circuit between the energy supply of the stylet and the electrosurgical generator by a monopolar or bipolar configuration.

30

 The electrosurgically active device used in conjunction with the trocar leads to certain safety concerns. While the electrosurgically active device removes or at least reduces the force required to penetrate the patient's tissue it does not eliminate the

danger of contacting internal organs and/or causing electrosurgical burns. Arcing or sparking caused by the energy jumping to other internal parts of the patient is of concern since contact with the electrosurgically active device is not required for that to occur.

5

The electrosurgical control includes a sampling circuit associated with the electrosurgically active device which responds to changes in energy passing through the energy supply as a function of tissue acted upon by the electrosurgically active device when as energized by the electrosurgical generator. The electrosurgical stylet may cause internal damage if the doctor continues to advance or press the device into the patient while the surgeon is unaware of the fact that the flesh/fat/muscle layer has been penetrated. Physically contacting internal organs the electrosurgical current will cut or burn them. If the voltage supplied to the electrosurgically active device is sufficiently high, after penetration of the flesh/fat/muscle layer sparks emitted from the cutting loop of the device could deliver coagulation type damage to the patient's internal organs. This injury would have been administered unintentionally.

10
15

Therefore, a safety circuit associated with the electrosurgically active device must account for both unintentional contact and non-contact damage to internal organs. The safety circuit should allow the insertion of the trocar into the patient with minimal probability of internal organ damage.

20

The sampling circuit preferably provides a signal relative to the energy supplied to the electrosurgically active device during entry through the incision. A measuring circuit analyzes the signal from the sampling circuit to instantly isolate a specific signal therefrom indicative of a significant change in the energy passing through the electrosurgically active device. A comparator includes a settable predetermined threshold amount of energy at which the electrosurgical generator no longer supplies energy to the electrosurgically active device.

25

The settable predetermined threshold is preferably a peak energy level as set by a knob adjusted by the electrosurgical control operator and the peak energy level is compared to the varying signals from the sampling circuit. A switch responsive to the comparator and connected to the electrosurgical generator may disconnect the

30

energy supply therefrom when the threshold is exceeded. The comparator operates the switch when a maximum or when a minimum signal is exceeded.

5 The electrosurgically active device in circuit with the electrosurgical generator may form a monopolar system or a bipolar system. The bipolar system may include a pair of electrodes connected to the energy supply to the electrosurgically active device wherein the electrodes terminate and provide a site across which an arc of electrical energy can be sustained in circuit with the electrosurgical generator.

10 The sampling circuit may respond to changes in voltage as the measure of energy flow through the energy supply and is a function of tissue acted upon by the electrosurgically active device at the tip of the stylet when energized by the electrosurgical generator. The sampling circuit provides a voltage relative to the energy supplied to the electrosurgically active device. The sampling circuit preferably responds
15 to changes in impedance of the tissue as the measure of energy required from the energy supply to the tip of the stylet when energized by the electrosurgical generator.

While the block diagram of Figure 1 is for a circuit design external to the generator, a circuit internal to the generator is also possible. If voltage is the monitored
20 signal, the initial voltage level upon contact to the outer layer of skin will be relatively high, once the skin muscle layer is entered the voltage will drop, and the voltage will rise again when the fat layer is passed through but before organs are contacted. This voltage level will be the maximum peak value for the generator power level. The peak detector will monitor the voltage wave form and through the use of a sample hold and
25 bleed resistor maintain a value proportional to the peak voltage that the generator has delivered.

This signal will be delivered to the threshold comparator which has its trip level set at the peak output voltage of the generator as a function of the front panel power
30 set point. When the threshold is reached the output of the comparator will go high. The transition of the comparator output from low to high will set the flip flop indicating that maximum voltage has been obtained. The output of this flip flop will energize a relay which will open the patient return continuity and cause an alarm (if the circuit is

external to a generator). A manual reset will allow the surgeon to continue tissue penetration if he deems more depth (trocar penetration) is required.

5 If the circuit is internal to the generator under control a more elaborate scheme can be used where a special mode of the generator is designed for trocar insertion and the signals are monitored internal to the generator. The interruption of power can then be through the primary control circuitry of the generator rather than through the external means of a patient continuity monitor.

10 If current is the feedback signal then the peak detector is actually looking for a minimum level of current to indicate that the probe tip is not in contact with tissue. The magnitude of the current signal will be the opposite of that of the voltage signal. The rest of the circuit will operate in a similar manner with the peak detector set at a minimal current level to indicate a high impedance (i.e. air) load on the generator.

15 The peak detector will actually be a minimum level detector and the polarity of the comparator will be the opposite of that shown. If power is the feedback signal, then the output power of the generator is monitored and when it reaches a near zero level (e.g. no load on the electrode tip) then the comparator is fired. Its respective output
20 levels are similar to current assuming that no internal feedback loops are regulating the output power as a function of generator load. The peak detector and comparator polarity are similar to that described for the current monitoring circuit.

25 The electrosurgically active device at the tip of the stylet is preferably a wire passing substantially normal to the axis of the cannula as shown in Figures 3, 4, 5a and perhaps 5b. The cannula is preferably cylindrical with a diameter thereacross so the stylet fits therein. The wire extends substantially across the diameter for cutting an opening through the tissue approximately the width of the cannula.

30 The physical topology of the flesh/fat/muscle layer that must be penetrated is a variable in thickness and impedance range from patient to patient. Figure 2 is a graphical depiction of different energy parameters relative to the constituents of the abdominal wall and includes a showing of the relationship of electrosurgical energy with

respect to the tissue cut. Assuming that there is a small but finite cavity between the muscle layer and the internal organs, then automatic energy control is possible. The space could be assured by insufflation, inflating the abdominal cavity before application of the trocar.

5

Assuming that the device is monopolar in nature, that is only the electrosurgically active device is at the incision site and a patient return electrode is used as the return path for the cutting energy of the electrosurgically active device as suggested by Figure 1. The safety circuit must be able to hold the active voltage to a minimum to achieve a sufficiently efficacious cutting performance while reducing the potential sparking between the electrosurgically active device and the return path. A voltage of approximately 300 Volts may be adequate. when combined with the mechanical design of the electrode to reduce the potential for sparking. That is the electrode could be slightly retracted into a non-conductive medium such as the cannula made of a high dielectric material. With a recessed stylet tip the distal end of the cannula must be pressed gently into the tissue for the electrosurgically active device to cut.

10

15

20

25

The physical shape of the electrosurgically active device may be selected to be effective in reducing the potential for sparking and Figures 5a through 5d disclose various monopolar and bipolar arrangements. The surgically active device could be a point but the potential for sparking is increased as the probability of non contact increases as the electrode area is reduced. Conversely, the shape of the tip could have a large cross sectional area which reduces the probability of non contact. However, the amount of energy required to perform cutting will increase as the size of the electrode increases. The amount of tissue damage that can occur is also directly proportional to the power setting of the generator. Therefore, increased tip size has negative impact.

30

The electrode is preferably disposable to minimize cost, the optimal design would be to use bipolar cutting electrodes as the sensing electrodes for tissue impedance, voltage, and/or current levels being delivered.

5 A monopolar needle electrode would achieve making a slender penetration hold to be mechanically distended as the trocar is inserted. A larger needle would obviously make a larger diameter hole thus reducing the overall distension required during trocar insertion. Voltage control, mechanical motion reduction, and penetration monitoring would be advisable for this configuration.

10 A monopolar loop would achieve making a much larger hole with a core of tissue having to be removed. The control parameters are the same as above. A wire type electrode could make a slot in the tissue similar to monopolar electrode. The control parameters are the same as above. A cross hairs type monopolar electrode would ideally create a four section 'flap' which would facilitate easier trocar insertion. The control parameters are the same as above.

15 A bipolar electrode would consist of two electrodes. The two electrodes could be similar in size or vary in size to physically define one as the active electrode (the smaller) and one as the return electrode. A coating on the electrodes could also achieve the same effect. The two electrodes could be shaped as to penetrate the flesh/fat/muscle layer in a coring fashion or in very close proximity to achieve a similar effect as a needle's electrode.

20 If the bipolar electrodes are dissimilar in size by physically rotating them as they are inserted through the tissue even cutting or coring action can be achieved. The impedance of the tissue that is in contact with the electrode should be monitored. There are two ways of doing this:

- 25 a. adding an auxillary measurement circuit either to the "primary" active electrode(s) or a separate dedicated pair whose AC frequency is not a multiple of the fundamental operating frequency of the generator being used so that minimal "cross talk" between the auxillary measurement current and the primary operating frequency of the generator occurs.
- 30 b. monitoring the output voltage and current of the generator and using that information for calculating the tissue impedance which may be compared to a preset maximum.

Assuming that the impedance of the tissue that is encountered by the electrosurgically active device changes as it is inserted into the patient's body, then either monitoring the impedance or the first derivative of the impedance may detect the fact that the tip of the stylet has penetrated the flesh/fat/muscle layer. That is, entered
5 the inner cavity. This concept is consistent with the data of Figure 2. If there is a small void or cavity within the external layer and the internal organs, the electrosurgical control circuit can detect the abrupt change (increase) in impedance and shut the electrosurgical generator off.

10 If the device is bipolar in configuration it could be inherently safer than a monopolar electrosurgically active device. The potential for sparking to internal organs is reduced as the electrosurgical generator output will be confined between the two electrodes that are in close proximity to each other; see for example Figures 5c and 5d. The possibility for over inserting the device and damaging the internal organs still exists.
15 Impedance monitoring would still be necessary to check for this misuse.

The optimal design might have a control that is bipolar. The device need not be driven from the bipolar output of an electrosurgical generator as a true bipolar cut has yet to be achieved but rather the device can be connected to the monopolar stage
20 of an electrosurgical generator in a bipolar configuration. This will reduce the potential for sparking to internal organs once penetration has occurred. The trocar could be powered with a monopolar electrosurgical generator configured in the bipolar mode. The power level required to perform the trocar entry would be a function of the bipolar electrode configuration (size, spacing, etc.) and, as explained elsewhere, has an active
25 electrode and patient return is connected to the other electrode of the device. The electrodes should be as close as possible together so a coring action would result from its use. If a small diameter hole is achieved then the electrode body can be used to expand the hole through tissue flexibility. The trocar can be blunt and slowly inserted to avoid tissue damage at this point.

30

If the device had the mechanical means to allow slow entry of the electrode into the patient the probability of internal organ damage might be further reduced. This could easily be achieved if a simple reducing mechanism were used so that as the

surgeon either turned a knob or dial, the electrode was slowly passed through the tissue layer. If the doctor has the capability of approximating the depth of the flesh/fat/muscle layer and a simple micrometer measurement device (e.g. markings on a wheel) could be used to determine when penetration is complete. A rotational to linear motion could be used for example with an associated reduction in motion. This would be ideal if the linear motion were derived from a rotation motion (e.g. the slow threading of the electrode into the patient). The depth of the flesh/fat/muscle layer could be measurable with a pair of micrometers. These device concepts could be used in conjunction with an impedance, current, and/or voltage monitoring control.

The monitoring of impedance can be done either by the electrosurgically active device performing the cutting or a pair or other combination of electrodes attached to the tip of the stylet. The monitoring circuit would interrogate the impedance by means of an AC electrical current and either look for an absolute value in either voltage or current, or would calculate impedance and monitor for an absolute impedance level or a change in the impedance. An absolute limit would be set an an impedance slightly greater than fat tissue to deactivate the generator while a change in impedance trip would be set for the impedance transition after the skin/fat muscle layer is penetrated.

If the control were a connected to an electrosurgical generator with a safety on the return electrode, the electrosurgical generator could be deactivated at its return electrode by opening the return path. Once this circuit was interrupted, a physical action on the part of the practitioner (e.g. press a reset button) would be required to reactivate the generator. A patient alarm signal could be used to signal that the inner cavity has detected or that penetration has occurred.

If an external control is used as a measuring and control circuit for the trocar insertion device, it would be attached to the generator when the trocar is being inserted and removed after insertion has occurred.

A predefined parameter would be electrically monitored for sensing that penetration has occurred i.e. entry to the inner cavity and would shut the generator off.

A mechanical resetting action of the part of the practitioner would be required to continue using the device.

5 An ultrasonic tip may also be used to achieve tissue penetration. This could be indicated by mechanical depth, tissue selectivity using cavi-pulse, or an electronic control of impedance. The measurement electrodes for impedance could be either part of the ultrasonic tip or separate attachments. An ultrasonic device would add the capabilities of electrosurgery to this type of device. Automatic shut off the ultrasonic and/or electrosurgical generator could be achieved via the use of the monitoring
10 electronic box. The electrosurgical generator could deactivate the patient return electrode path and the ultrasonic generator could be manually shut off with the handswitch control being connected through electronic monitor for deactivating the "on" signal.

15 It should be noted that any electrode tip, whether purely ultrasonic or electrosurgical or a combination of the two technologies, should be as blunt as possible to minimize any mechanical puncturing of tissue and to allow the maximum amount of penetration to be achieved by the respective cutting mechanism of the modality.

What is claimed is:

1. An electrosurgical control 10 for a trocar 11 comprising
 - a trocar 11 having a stylet 13 and a cannula 12 coaxially fit to one another and the stylet 13 is movable reciprocally relative to the cannula 12 along an axis common to both, the trocar 11 shaped for insertion in a direction generally along the axis through tissue of a human or animal body 14 in a combined puncture procedure with its stylet 13;
 - a distal end 15 and a proximal end 16 on the cannula 12, the cannula 12 elongate between the ends thereof, the distal end 15 for entering the tissue and the proximal end 16 for remaining outside the tissue;
 - a tip 17 on the stylet 13 end which enters the tissue, the tip 17 associated with the distal end 15 of the cannula 12 and normally extending therebeyond in position for puncture through the tissue of a human or animal, the stylet 13 having an opposite end 19 arranged to be moveable relative to the cannula 12 for positioning the tip 17 in the extended position to a location with the tip 17 fully within the cannula 12;
 - an energy supply 18 associated with the stylet 13 for permitting the passage of energy between the tip 17 and the opposite end 19;
 - an electrosurgical generator 20 for providing energy to the opposite end 19 of the stylet 13;
 - an electrosurgically active device as part of the tip 17 for connecting to the energy supply 18 along the stylet 13 to the electrosurgical generator 20;
 - a sampling circuit 21 associated with the electro-surgically active device responsive to changes in energy through the energy supply 18 as a function of tissue acted upon by the electrosurgically active device at the tip 17 of the stylet 13 and as energized by the electrosurgical generator 20, the sampling circuit 21 for providing a signal relative to the energy supplied to the electrosurgically active device;
 - a measuring circuit 22 to respond to and for analyzing the signal from the sampling circuit 21 for instantly isolating a specific signal therefrom indicative of a significant change in the energy passing through the electrosurgically active device when the tip 17 thereof is in a body 14 cavity and no longer in the tissue, and

a comparator 23 including a settable predetermined threshold amount of energy at which the electrosurgical generator 20 no longer supplies energy to the electrosurgically active device.

- 5 2. The electrosurgical control 10 for a trocar 11 of Claim 1 wherein the settable predetermined threshold is a peak energy level as set by a knob 24 adjusted by the electrosurgical control 10 operator and the peak energy level is compared to the varying signals from the sampling circuit 21.
- 10 3. The electrosurgical control 10 for a trocar 11 of Claim 1 wherein a switch 25 responsive to the comparator 23 and connected to the electrosurgical generator 20 disconnects the energy supply 18 therefrom when the threshold is exceeded and wherein the comparator 23 operates the switch 25 when a maximum or minimum is exceeded.
- 15 4. The electrosurgical control 10 for a trocar 11 of Claim 1 wherein the electrosurgically active device in circuit with the electrosurgical generator 20 form a monopolar system 26 or 27 or bipolar system 28 or 29.
- 20 5. The electrosurgical control 10 for a trocar 11 of Claim 4 wherein the bipolar system 28 or 29 includes a pair of electrodes 30 connected to the energy supply 18 to the electrosurgically active device wherein the electrodes 30 terminate and provide a site across which an arc of electrical energy can be sustained in circuit with the electrosurgical generator 20.
- 25 6. The electrosurgical control 10 for a trocar 11 of Claim 1 wherein the sampling circuit 21 responds to changes in voltage as the measure of energy flow through the energy supply 18 as a function of tissue acted upon by the electrosurgically active device at the tip 17 of the stylet 13 when energized by the electrosurgical generator 20, the sampling circuit 21 for providing a voltage relative to the energy supplied to the electrosurgically active device.
- 30

7. The electrosurgical control 10 for a trocar 11 of Claim 1 wherein the sampling circuit 21 responds to changes in impedance of the tissue as the measure of energy required from the energy supply 18 to the tip 17 of the stylet 13 when energized by the electrosurgical generator 20.

5

8. The electrosurgical control 10 for a trocar 11 of Claim 1 wherein the electrosurgically active device at the tip 17 of the stylet 13 is a wire 34 passing substantially normal to the axis of the cannula 12 and wherein the cannula 12 is cylindrical and has a diameter thereacross and the stylet 13 that fits therein and the wire 34 extends across the diameter for cutting an opening through the tissue approximately the width of the cannula 12.

10

9. An electrosurgical control 10 comprising:
an active device for an electrosurgical procedure for cutting an animal
or human tissue wherein high frequency energy passes thereacross;
an electrosurgical generator 20 for providing high frequency energy to
the active device;

15

a sampling circuit 21 associated with the electrosurgically active device responsive to changes in energy supplied therethrough as a function of tissue acted upon by the electrosurgically active device and as energized by the electrosurgical generator 20, the sampling circuit 21 for providing a signal relative to the energy supplied to the electrosurgically active device;

20

a measuring circuit 22 to respond to and for analyzing the signal from the sampling circuit 21 for instantly isolating a specific signal therefrom indicative of a significant change in the energy passing through the electrosurgically active device as a consequence of the tip 17 being no longer in the tissue, and

25

a comparator 23 including a settable predetermined threshold amount of energy at which the electrosurgical generator 20 no longer supplies energy to the electrosurgically active device.

30

10. The electrosurgical control 10 of Claim 9 wherein the signal from the sampling circuit 21 is indicative of an increase or decrease in the energy passing

through the electrosurgically active device as a consequence of the tip 17 being beyond the tissue such as the abdominal wall.

1/5





FIG. 1

2/5

**FIG. 2**

3/5





FIG. 3

4/5

**FIG. 4**

5/5




FIG. 5a




FIG. 5b




FIG. 5c




FIG. 5d

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

national application No.

PCT/US 92/08980

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC5: A61B 17/39

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC5: A61B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

DIALOG, WPI, CLAIMS

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US, A, 4494541 (G.K. ARCHIBALD), 22 January 1985 (22.01.85) column 3, line 24 - column 4, line 11; --	1-10
X	US, A, 4416277 (D.W. NEWTON ET AL), 22 November 1983 (22.11.83) column 2, line 35 - column 3, line 21; --	1-10
X	US, A, 4651280 (S.S. CHANG ET AL), 17 March 1987 (17.03.87), column 2, line 31 - column 3, line 9; abstract --	1,9

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☒ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family


Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

28 January 1993

09.02.93

Name and mailing address of the ISA/


 European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Hans Presto
Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 92/08980

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US, A, 3601126 (J.R. ESTES), 24 August 1971 (24.08.71), figure 1, abstract --	1,9
A	DE, A1, 3824913 (HILL, T.), 1 February 1990 (01.02.90), figures 1-3, abstract -- -----	1,9

SA 970

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

08/01/93

International application No.

PCT/US 92/08980

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-A- 4494541	22/01/85	AU-A- 6777181 EP-A- 0044330 US-A- 4303073 WO-A- 8101954	07/08/81 27/01/82 01/12/81 23/07/81
US-A- 4416277	22/11/83	AU-B- 541482 AU-A- 8941482 CA-A- 1200287 DE-A, C- 3239640 DE-C- 3249766 JP-A- 1076846	10/01/85 05/05/83 04/02/86 19/05/83 18/08/88 22/03/89
US-A- 4651280	17/03/87	EP-A, B- 0126814	05/12/84
US-A- 3601126	24/08/71	NONE	
DE-A1- 3824913	01/02/90	NONE	

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER: _____**

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.